Endicott Development Project

Public Scoping Meeting

Barrow

1983

ĺ	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	PUBLIC SCOPING MEETING ENDICOTT DEVELOPMENT PROJECT
9	
10	POINT BARROW, ALASKA JANUARY 21, 1983
11	
12	
13	•
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

H & M COURT REPORTING 1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE. SUITE 220 ANCHORAGE. ALASKA 99501 (907) 274-5661

PROCEEDINGS JANUARY 21, 1983

COLONEL SALING:

I appreciate those of you that came this evening to this second scoping meeting for the Endicott Development.

Those of you I haven't met, I'm Colonel Neil Saling, the District Engineer for the Alaska District, Corps of Engineers.

We received an application from Sohio and Exxon Petroleum Companies for a development which is now known officially as the Endicott Development, and those of you who have picked up the brochure know that in the past it was called the Sag Delta and the Duck Island, but it is the development of the Endicott reserves which are in the Sagavanirktok River Delta.

We have representatives of those oil companies here tonight, and one of the things we want to do for you is to give you a fairly detailed briefing on what is proposed as far as that Endicott Development is concerned.

As a basis for reaching a decision on the permit application that we've received in the Alaska District from those oil companies, we are preparing

H & M COURT REPORTING

1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

(907) 274-5661

an Environmental Impact Statement. As I indicated, this is the second of five scoping meetings, and by "scoping meetings" I mean those meetings which we are holding both in Anchorage and Fairbanks, but also up here on the North Slope, in hopes of getting from you the kind of information that you think needs to be addressed in the Environmental Impact Statement.

We had a couple of very productive meetings this afternoon with representatives of local government. They gave us some insight into the North Slope and the kinds of things that they believe need to be addressed, and hopefully this evening we'll be able to solicit some views from you of those things which you think are important in that Environmental Impact Statement.

The other two meetings up here on the North Slope, just for your information; one will be at Nuigsut and the other in Kaktovik, and those will be held Thursday and Friday of next week.

Our schedule for the preparation of the Environmental Impact Statement is shown on the scoping document I think most of you picked up as you came in. We plan to have the draft of that Environmental Impact Statement completed in October of this year, and the final completed in March of

H & M COURT REPORTING
1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE. SUITE 220
ANCHORAGE. ALASKA 99501
(907) 274-5661

1984.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

One of the assumptions in this kind of a tight schedule is that we'll maximum benefit of existing information, with a minimum amount of field work, if at all possible, in using base line data that has been gathered over the past two or three years, and in addition, that data which has been gathered through the Waterflood Project at the West Dock.

I'd like to identify for you the people on my staff that are here tonight.

Rich Gutleber, sitting over here, is my Project Officer, to handle this Environmental Impact Statement.

Dave Barrows, right back here, is the head of a regulatory branch, and he will be putting together those pieces that are necessary to process the permit.

Those are the two points of contact, one on the Environmental Impact Statement and the second on the permit itself.

Now, to help us prepare this Environmental Impact Statement we contracted with a third party, and they're here this evening, and they will help us run the meeting; they're from the Environmental Research and Technology organization, or ERT, if you hear them

16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 |

H & M COURT REPORTING

1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 920

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

(907) 974-5661

referred to that, and the primary representative here is Mr. Doug Ross, who will also talk to you a little bit later.

What I plan to do this evening is have the oil company representative, Mr. Huxley brief you on what constitutes the Endicott Development, and then have Doug Ross brief you on the Environmental Impact Statement, and that process, and then open it up to your questions of both the scope of the project and the scope of the Environmental Impact effort, and then after that, we will take any statements or questions.

Now, from a mechanical standpoint, as you note, this is being recorded so we have this for posterity.

When we start into the question and answer period, I'll take this little podium here, and I'll move it out, and if you would, if you have a question, just come over, tell us who you are, if you represent an organization, state that, also, and then just stand or sit behind this table and you can give us your comments.

So with that introduction, I would like, at this time, to go ahead and turn this over to Doug Ross.

He will introduce the people from the oil company,

and take the next segment of the meeting, and then I'll come back and handle the question and answer period.

MR. ROSS:

Thank you, Colonel Saling.

I am the Assistant Project Manager for ERT on this project, and as has been indicated by Colonel Saling, ERT has been retained as the third party contractor -- EIS contractor that will conduct the environmental analysis on this project, working with the Corps of Engineers. Therefore, for the next 15 months, we will be working very closely with the Corps of Engineers in completing this whole analysis.

Tonight's session is going to consist of three topics. One area is the description of the proposed project, which will be handled by Sohio. I will talk a little bit about the process that we're in now, the scoping process — the preliminary front end of the whole EIS process. And then I'll go through the seven or eight steps that make up the description of the EIS process.

I think before we go any further it is appropriate to introduce the Sohio people who will participate in the presentation tonight. To my right at the far end of the table is Del Dias, who is the

H & M COURT REPORTING 1031 West 4th Avenue, suite 220 Anchorage, Alaska 99501 (907) 274-5661

14 MR. HUXLEY:

Senior Environmental Engineer for Sohio; he's from Anchorage. And the next gentleman is Dan Huxley, who is the Development Planning Supervisor for the Endicott Project; he's based in San Francisco.

At this time Dan Huxley, from Sohio, will tell you a little bit about the proposed project. I think it's important for you at this stage to have a clear understanding of the proposed project and also the major alternative that Sohio is considering. That will help you identify questions, issues of concern tonight that you can come back to us with.

Dan, would you tell them a little bit about the project.

I would like to begin by giving a brief status of just where the project is right now. We have completed the major conceptual engineering studies for the project. These were being conducted for the purpose of determining the technical feasibility of developing the field, and the estimated cost of that development.

This work was conducted in a very broad scope, realizing that the scope of the project would be changing as progress was made, particularly in the area of the reservoir and our understanding of just

what the reservoir is.

The other thing that's happened, as Colonel Saling mentioned, the initial permit on the project, that being a permit with the Corps of Engineers, has been filed, and that filing has kicked the EIS process.

Our purpose for this early filing was realizing that the EIS process is a lengthy one. We wanted to get things going early because of the fact that the time we receive the permit is critical to our overall project schedule.

Also, it has been our intent, and continues to be our intent, to resolve issues of agency and public concern, as early on in the project as we possibly can.

We've also prepared and submitted to the Corps and the various permiting agencies, an engineering overview which describes in greater detail that I will be this evening just what the project looked like that we went through conceptual design with and the other document that was submitted was an environmental overview which describes the environmental setting.

At the present time we are evaluating the major development alternatives for the project, with the

intent of arriving at the development scheme. The major effort right now is focused on determining the optimum number and location of gravel islands. And to determine this, is very dependent on results of reservoir work that we're performing right now.

It's important to note, I think, that the companies have not made, at this point, final commitment to develop, and that commitment cannot be made until we really have additional reservoir information, engineering and cost data on the project. However, we are committed to the project; the work we have performed thusfar, and the work we are planning during the next 18 months, we think indicates our serious intent to proceed as far forward in the project as we possibly can.

What I would like to do now is move into the project description.

Note that the project that I will be describing here is consistent with the description contained in the engineering overview, which as I said, has now been filed with the Corps and the other agencies, and the Borough has a copy, and is available for public review to gain additional detail on what the project is.

The project, also, that I will be describing

H & M COURT REPORTING

1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

(907) 274-5661

includes both the initial facilities and possible future increments that may be needed, and I'll describe those a little bit later.

In general terms, the project is located 15 miles east of Prudhoe Bay, and between two and four miles offshore from the Sagavanirktok River Delta.

The water depths in the project area vary from four feet to 18 feet.

In very general terms, the project includes a total four gravel islands, the central drilling and production island, two satellite drilling islands, a waterflood island, a gravel causeway connecting the main island to shore, pipelines between the islands —— each of the satellite islands and the main islan and two main oil and gas product pipelines from the main island to sales points at Prudhoe Bay.

In addition we'll have a main construction camp and a base operations camp located in the Delta Uplands.

The timing of the project, we estimate that production will start up in mid 1988. At that time we have to have -- start up with between 75 and 150,000 barrels of oil per day.

Gas sales from the field's expected to peak at approximately 250 million cubic feet of gas per

H & M COURT REPORTING
1001 WEST 4TH AVENUE. SUITE 220

day. The timing and rate of gas sales, at this point, is dependent upon the timing of the Alaska Natural Gas Transportation System, and also reservoir management needs for possible re-injection of gas.

In moving on into a little bit more detail, the two satellite drilling islands are each located approximately 2 1/2 miles from the main island. They contain from one to two drilling rigs and drilling support equipment and up to 80 wells each.

The production from the wells on each of the satellite islands will flow to the main production island through a produce fluid pipeline between the islands. The main production island will also include drilling and well operation facilities for up to 80 wells. In addition to that, it will contain all the main processing facilities for the field, and this will include the main separation and fluid handling facilities; the water treatment and disposal; the gas treatment and handling; the oil treatment and handling; and will also include various support facilities, power generation equipment, and so forth.

The fourth and smallest island is the Waterflood
Island. This is located approximately two miles
further offshore from the main island, in

H & M COURT REPORTING

1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

(907) 274-5661

approximately 18 feet of water depth, for the purpo of providing a year round supply of sea water for the project.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

That small island that is reserved for water, is that going to be used for any drilling?

MR. HUXLEY:

No. All drilling will be handled from one of the three drilling and production islands. The Waterflood Island will contain only the water intake structure, to bring sea water into the island and facilities to transport that sea water over to the main production island. At the main production island the water will be treated and pressured for injection into select water injection wells for water flooding, and those wells will be located on the three drilling and production islands.

The pipelines required for the project, as I mentioned earlier, include both the inter-island pipelines connecting the islands as well as the sales lines to sales points at Prudhoe. The inter-island pipelines include the produced fluid pipelines from the satellite drilling islands; the source waterline from the Waterflood Island, as well as some additional pipelines for transporting water back to

the satellite islands for waterflood injection, and transferring gas back to the Waterflood Islands for artigen litnates (phonetic).

The inter-island pipelines will be buried in subsea trenches with appropriate cover over the lines to protect the lines from ice gouging, ice scour and strudel scour.

The actual depth and method of covering the pipelines will depend on the location of the pipeline and the additional geotechnical work that we're now performing.

The pipelines, both the inter-island and the sales pipelines will be designed with the expressed purpose of preventing leaks and those prevention techniques will include both proper selection of material for the pipe; proper installation of the pipelines and operation of the lines, including corrosion control methods.

Beyond that, we will have installed leak protection equipment to detect leaks, if they do occur, and this will include continuous monitoring devices as well as periodic leak detection devices, such as pipeline pigs that go through the inners of the pipeline and detect any problems in the pipeline.

The pipelines to sales points include two

pipelines; a 16 inch oil line and a 16 inch gas
line. The oil line will end up at TAPS Pump Station
1; the gas line will end up at the future Alaska Gas
Conditioning Facility.

Two alternate routes have been examined through our conceptual design phase of the work, and I would like to go briefly through those two routes at this time.

The first one will be referred to as the Sag
Delta Route. In this case the pipeline comes to
shore, buried in a gravel causeway connected between
the main island and shore. At this point it
continues buried along for another mile and a half or
so in a gravel approach to the causeway. At that
point it comes above ground and continues on elevated
pipeline supports, over through the Delta area to
Drill Site 9. At this point it continues along
existing pipeline corridors, both to TAPS and to the
AGCF.

The alternate route that was examined we refer to as the West Dock Pipeline Route. In this case the two pipelines start at Production Island B and continue buried in the subsea trench over to the PBUS Dock.

At this point it continues in a gravel shoulder

5

to be added to the dock and then above ground on elevated pipeline supports along existing right-of-ways and pipeline corridors to the AGCF and TAPS Pump Station 1.

Based on the results of the conceptual engineering work, we are now favoring and indicating a preference for the Sag Delta Route. The reason for that preference are several. Number one, the causeway itself provides year round transportation, road access to the main island. It also provides operations convenience throughout the period of operation. It will significantly reduce our construction cost in building the other outlying islands, and will also provide a method of carrying the sales pipelines to shore and avoiding any permafrost in that area.

The last items I would like to go over are the two camps that we propose to construct. One is the base operations camp, the other a main construction camp. In the base case design that we've indicated, these are both included on a single gravel pad, approximately 50 acres area, located about six miles from the main island in the Delta Uplands.

The base operations camp will be a permanent facility designed to accomodate approximately 250

people. It will include the housing for those peop'

The main construction camp will be designed to accommodate 750 construction personnel, including housing facilities, support equipment for a construction camp, as well as material storage areas. The construction camp is expected to operate through the period of construction.

The two camps, as I say, in our base case, are located on the same gravel pad. Assuming that that would continue, the facilities would share common facilities such as waste water handling, and sewage disposal as much as possible.

The gravel requirements for the project, we now estimate that approximately 8 million yards of gravel will be required. This will include about 4 million yards for the islands, an additional 2.5 million yards for the causeway and the causeway approach; an additional 1.5 million yards for the onshore road that comes along the same corridor as the pipeline, and the pipeline work pad.

The actual method of construction will depend upon the gravel source selected. At this time both onshore and offshore sites for gravel are being considered.

sidered.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

Whereabouts are you going to get your offshore gravel?

MR. HUXLEY:

We're doing a survey right now of possible offshore sites. Some of the earlier work that we had done -- in fact, we talked about this a little bit at a meeting back in May at which I know there were a couple of Borough representatives there; had indicated at that time a preference for the on-shore, simply because of the limited data that we had for offshore sites.

At this time we're really expressing no preference. We're going to be examining both the onshore and offshore sites. So I can't identify any specific offshore sites. In the Engineering Overview we identified half a dozen or more onshore sites, which basically were currently permitted sites.

This is the project schedule that I'd like to go through very briefly. The detail design work on the project is expected to get underway the second quarter of this year.

In mid 1984 we're looking at a major project decision on commitment to construction to field development. That would be after receipt of all

H & M COURT REPORTING

1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 920

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

1 (907) 974-5661

permits for the project. At that time we would be the position to order long lead equipment and begin preparation for the off-site work up in the Delta area, road construction and pipeline add construction.

The actual gravel work will begin with the offsite work in the Delta in late '84. The construction of the islands and causeway will begin in about mid 1985. Also, in mid 1985 the module construction work will begin in the Lower 48.

The pipeline construction beginning late '85 with drilling commencing around the first quarter of 1986. We expect to have to predrill a number of wells prior to start-up to have sufficient well capacity available for start-up in 1988.

North Slope construction actually beginning in '86 with the major installation of facilities occurring after our major Sea-Lift, which is our 1987 Sea-Lift.

We're then going to, right now, projecting mid
1988 production start-up.

Now, the last line I've indicated here is future increments, and what we're talking about, future increments at this point, there's a possibility that the waterflood island and associate facilities with

the waterflood may not be required at start-up, but may be required, perhaps, two to three years following; this has not been determined as yet.

Also, some of the other facilities such as artificial lift, low pressure separation and expansion to produce water capability may occur at a later date.

In terms of project footprint, we really are seeing no more than what we showed you in the first slide. We're not looking at a bunch of additional islands. The only thing that's uncertain right now would be the timing of the actual waterflood island, but it appears now in recent reservoir work that that may be required at time of start-up.

Just to briefly recap, we're talking about a total of four gravel islands; a main production island; two satellite drilling islands; a total of 240 wells, 80 on each of those three islands; a waterflood island; a gravel causeway from the main island to shore; pipelines connecting the islands; sales pipelines from the main island through the delta to sales points at Prudhoe Bay; a main construction camp and a base operations camp located in the Delta Uplands.

That concludes the presentation.

1	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
2	What is the estimated recoverable oil there?
3	MR. HUXLEY:
4	Total oil in place is estimated to be about a
5	billion barrels.
6	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
7	Your causeway a two mile causeway, right?
8	MR. HUXLEY:
9	Right now the causeway that's shown here is about
10	two miles.
11	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
12	Is it a continuous
13	MR. HUXLEY:
14	It's a continuous gravel causeway.
15	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
16	Are you anticipating doing anything with the
17	approximately 250 million cubic feet of natural gas
18	that's in that area?
19	MR. HUXLEY:
20	We're anticipating selling it. What I noted is
21	that that sale is dependent, number one, on the
22	Alaska Natural Gas Transportation System; the
23	completion of which is uncertain right now. And the
24	other thing that's uncertain is the need for
25	reservoir conservation to reinject the gas. In that

case the sales may not be available at day one, but may be delayed, and the timing of that delay really is dependent on reservoir studies that we're still doing and continuing to do.

MR. ROSS:

The next topic I wanted to discuss was a little more detail on what this scoping frontend process is before we really start preparing the EIS.

There are federal laws that require the government to hold meetings prior to consideration of most permanent applications for a project such as the Endicott Development Project. And these public meetings allow the public to step forward and ask questions about the project, and to raise concerns about the project, and these questions and concerns can either be written, by writing them down on one of these cards, or paper of your own choice, or they can be verbal, such as the meeting we are holding tonight.

After the public, local, state and federal questions and concerns are sent in to the Corps of Engineers, both the Corps of Engineers and ERT will analyze these questions and then we will prepare a report which will highlight the most significant and important questions and concerns and issues.

H & M COURT REPORTING 1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 920 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501 (907) 974-5661

The identification and ranking of these imports concerns and issues will then direct us in our whole effort to prepare the EIS.

I think througout this meeting it's important that you recognize that we're here to listen to you, and we encourage you to ask questions, and if you'd rather not stand up and ask the questions, then get a card here at the end of the table and write those questions down. You can, also, within the next thirty days, mail the questions in to the Corps of Engineers; they don't have to be done tonight.

If you've given us your name and address on the register up front, we will mail you a copy of the scoping report which highlights the results of the meetings prior to the incorporation of EIS. I think some time, probably the end of February and March we'll have the scoping documents.

I'll spend a few minutes describing to you what we're going to do on this EIS; how we're going to analyze the proposed project and evaluate the available data and prepare the draft and final EIS.

I'll show you a few overhead slides.

(Whereupon at this point in the hearing slides were shown.)

What I just got through talking about, that's

H & M COURT REPORTING 1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501 (907) 274-5661 what we're in right now. It's really the pre-EIS task to get the public input.

In the next month and a half we will be working with the Corps of Engineers to finalize the work plans. Those work plans will really govern what we're going to look at and how we're going to handle the significant issues and concerns. And we will prepare a work plan for all the environmental disciplines; the biology, the soils, the water resources; each one of those will have a study plan which will direct us for the rest of the study.

Those study plans will also be worked out in conjunction with the key state, federal and local agency people; they will participate in the review of these work plans.

Task three, ERT will start to take a look at all the base line data -- the field data that has been collected in the Sag Delta region. This will also include studies that were done as recently as the summer of 1982. They essentially cover all of the biological and physical science disciplines out there, the water resources, the oceanography, the aquatic biology, the terrestrial biology. Details for the winter and summer studies have been performed both on-shore and off-shore in the affected area.

H & M COURT REPORTING 1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 920 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501 (907) 974-5661 We will spend a considerable amount of time having our discipline experts take a look at this data and analyze it and really condense it down so that we could present the important features of those baseline the studies and put them into the impact statement and use them for the project description to analyze the impact on the project.

The task four is the actual impact analysis where we, in effect, superimpose what Sohio is proposing, and the principal alternatives that they're looking at. We superimpose that on the existing environment, and from that we identify what the short term and the long term impacts are going to be. And at that time we will also look at the proposed mitigation measures, restoration methods that Sohio is proposing. We will also be recommending mitigation measures of our own to protect the environment.

I think this gets back to the point why this is so important for your issues and concerns to come in at this time, because this will have a lot of clout on this task four impact analysis, where the mitigation measures are developed.

The various alternatives that Sohio is taking a look at will be evaluated with regard to the impact on the environment from each alternative, and that

will be documented. That's one through four, that will form the basis for preparing the draft EIS.

The highlights of all four tasks will be documented in this draft, and I think all the interested parties will receive a copy of this draft EIS.

There will be a review time for the public to take a look at the draft EIS and give either written or verbal comments back in to the Corps of Engineers. And at that time we will work with Sohio and the Corps of Engineers to address the questions on EIS and the points that the public and the agencies raised on the draft EIS. We will try and answer those and correct the deficiences that have been found on the draft EIS and publish a final EIS.

We're talking about approximately 15 month process to go through these seven tasks. So some time in the spring of 1984 we should have a final EIS out on the street. This was an optimistic schedule, but if everything goes right, I think it can be accomplished in a 15 month period.

I would like to go over with you a preliminary list of some of the concerns and issues that the Corps of Engineers and some of the other agencies have identified, and you might look at these as

H & M COURT REPORTING 1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501 (907) 274-5661

potential problems that might be caused by the project, and while they're only guesses at this time, certainly this is a starting point that I'd like to go over with you.

The first potential issue and concern is, will a water quality and a fish migration effects related to the proposed causeway, and I think we already got a question tonight on that causeway. This is certainly a principal issue that we are going to spend a lot of time in the next 15 months looking at.

The next one is the effects on snow goose nesting and rearing habitat within the Sag Delta area.

That's one of the principal snow goose habitats in the U.S. So that is certainly going to be another important on-shore issue and concern that we look at.

The third one is the effects of discharging drilling mud and cuttings off-shore. That will occur from the three drilling islands.

A fourth one, is the effects on the Stefansson Sound Kelp Community, or commonly called the Boulder Patch, which exists in the shallow waters off-shore of the Sag Delta. It's a very important ecological area, so we will be taking a look at the project on how it essentially could impact that Boulder Patch.

The next one is disturbance of the bowhead whale

and other marine mammals by the human activity associated with the Endicott Development Project.

The next one is the effects on the caribou use and their migration and movement patterns across the Sag Delta. There have been ongoing studies probably for the last ten years that we will be looking at with regard to this issue and concern.

The next one, another very important one, risk analysis related to the ice over-ride threat to the artificial islands -- four artificial islands.

And the last one is also another risk analysis.

The risk analysis related to the wellhead blowouts

and potential pipeline leaks.

I think some of these are obvious things that we ought to be looking at. I think the purpose of putting a list up like this is maybe to encourage you to come forward with more of your concerns. If there are other ones that are outside of these lists, we would like to hear about it tonight. And as I said before, there is a 30 day response period where your written or verbal concerns can be sent in to the Corps of Engineers.

I would like to turn the meeting back now to Colonel Saling.

COLONEL SALING:

I have just one additional task that has to be added to the end of the tasks that he's shown you there, as far as the Environmental Impact Statement is concerned. And that is, once this document is prepared, at that point in time, then we must make a decision as to whether or not to issue the permit or to deny the permit, or to issue the permit with stipulations in it that make it more environmentally acceptable. That's what that whole list of tasks is leading up to.

What I would like to do now -- I was not going to take a break, but I think it's time we grabbed a quick cup of coffee and come back in. I'll move this table out that way a little bit, and you all with, either questions or comments or anything you would like to say, you can come up to the table and address the. So why don't we grab a cup of coffee and reconvene in about ten minutes.

(Whereupon a brief off the record period was then taken.)

COLONEL SALING:

Ladies and gentlemen, the podium up here may look somewhat intimidating to you, but we have to do this so we could keep track of the questions and comments on the tape that we've got running up here.

1 I've got a couple people who have indicated a 2 desire to give us some comments this evening. 3 that have made that indication, I'm going to ask them 4 to come up first and we'll talk to them and then I 5 want to open it up, and anybody else who has 6 questions, comments, anything at all, I'll open it to 7 that, and then at the close I'll remind you again 8 that there's a 30 day period in which we'll keep the 9 record open and in which you could send us any kind 10 of written comments. 11 JoAnn Loncar. 12 MS. LONCAR: 13 My name is JoAnn Loncar. I work with the North 14 Slope Borough, Environmental Protection Office, and I 15 had a question for the representatives from Sohio 16 regarding the subsea pipeline. 17 You said that you've done studies to determine 18 appropriate covering for the pipelines to protect it 19 from ice scour. I would like some information on 20 those studies, and how it was determined that it 21 would protect the pipeline, being that there is no 22 pipeline in the offshore region to date. 23 MR. HUXLEY: 24 They serve two aspects of that; one is the

pipeline trench depth, which we feel is the most

25

important part of protection; keeping the pipeline deep. The work that's been thusfar on that has been — the past two summers we've done what we call strudel scour survey to examine strudels, and to determine just how deep they are, the occurrence, how wide they are, and the statistically how often they occur.

In addition to that the work has been done to try to determine what is the effect of the ice in the area, in terms of how deep are the gouges, and really, what is the determining effect in making -- select a particular type of trench and depth of trench.

The conceptual design that we've gone through actually specifies various trench depths depending on the area wherein the depth of the water in that particular area, the deeper ones, for example, are the ones that were going in the West Dock pipeline route, because they were in the deeper water and would experience, through earlier study work, deeper scours in that area.

The cover is not just a protection means from the scours and the ice pounding and gouging, but also a determination of what the thermal mechanism is there in heat transfer and the insulation of the pipes, and

really more of a, sort of a heat balance than really 2 a protection from the scouring and ice gouging 3 mechanisms. 4 It is also another important part of the subsea 5 pipeline, is to prevent permafrost thawing, and that's a balance of just how much heat these 6 7 pipelines are giving off against making sure they 8 don't give off too much and start doing some 9 So to prevent that, in some cases, we don't 10 have much cover to allow them to dicipate their heat. 11 MS. LONCAR: 12 Because you'll also be having gas lines and they 13 tend to freeze in the outside area instead of thawing 14 it; you'll have them running side by side. 15 Sohio consider putting in a test line before actually 16 installing producing lines? 17 MR. HUXLEY: 18 From a thermal analysis standpoint or from damage 19 from scour and such? 20 MS. LONCAR: 21 Damage. And thermal. I imagine you could obtain 22 quite a bit of information.... 23 MR. HUXLEY: 24 It's a little difficult -- I couldn't make a 25 commitment right now on the specific study.

1 difficulty there is that the scours -- you know, yo 2 would get scours in a very widely spaced area very 3 infrequently, and so you could put a pipeline in a 4 study area and come back a year later and say the 5 pipeline is fine, but that doesn't necessarily mean 6 that all pipelines would be fine, because maybe 50 7 feet away you'd have a deep strudel scour. So the 8 approach we'd take is to be looking at the whole 9 area, particularly the corridors we examined thusfar, 10 and looking specifically at what scour depths are, 11 and from that, determining what is the proper depth 12 of the pipeline. 13 MS. LONCAR: 14 Thank you. 15 COLONEL SALING: 16 I have noted on here that the pipeline 17 reliability is one of the things that needs to be 18 addressed, so thank you very much for your comments. 19 DR. HARCHARIK: 20 I'm Dr. Bob Harcharik, I'm a Technical Advisor 21 for the Inupiat Corporation, which is the village 22 corporation of the village of Barrow, organized under 23 ANCSA. 24 I sent your office an extended statement,

elaborating on these points -- some of these

25

questions; possibly a few others will be included.

There are four concerns that has come up. The people I work with and some of those that are curious. One of the questions -- and I'm not trying to be facetious on this is, why is the name "Endicott Project" given to the -- assigned to development activities.

Some people do consider it as creatin' a new image -- creat an image, like, say, we're startin' off from scratch, and let's do it right and get it all together.

Others pointed out the possibility it's to confuse the fact of where it is, and -- you know, and others concerned. Because when you see your announcements, and you talk about the Endicott Project and in very small print you mention the two islands concerned. And it's an valid concern of the people. Because one of the problems that appear on Slope is the fact that the communication is pretty darn poor, from the outside -- the rest of the state even. Sometimes mail it gets here four days after the testimony was supposed to be down in somebody's office. And with one radio station the announcements don't always come out as they're supposed to.

There's difficulty with that communication.

MR. HUXLEY:

The Endicott is the technical name for the reservoir, or the name that has been given to the reservoir by a geologist. I don't know the history as to when the reservoir was named, but that's what it was named.

The two other titles, Duck Island/Sag Delta -sort of history of the project is that Sohio and its
partners, the five Native corporations that it was in
partnership with, with pursuing its exploration of
the area. That exploration effort was known as Sag
Delta, and that's the way we refer to it.

On the otherhand, there was another group called Duck Island Unit. That Unit was operated by Exxon, and they were proceeding independently on their own work.

We actually started exploration drilling, our discovered wells back in 1978, so this is going back a few years, and we've been calling it Sag Delta ever since, from our standpoint.

Not until September of 1981 did the two groups get together and agree to proceed cooperatively from the standpoint of joint studies, which we thought was important, and conserving around resources and also realizing that it looks like this project -- the work

H & M COURT REPORTING

1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

(907) 274-5661

That is a good point.

24

25

DR. HARCHARIK:

The second concern I had is in reference to 1 2 gravel extraction. Other than the geological consideration, you said you're checking out areas, 3 4 and I'm assuming that most of that is geological 5 considerations, as to what kind of gravel it is, how 6 is it extractable. 7 What other considerations have been taken -- what 8 else have you considered in selecting those areas? 9 For example, you touched on possible migration as to 10 caribou, other wildlife living in that area. 11 might not be effective at a particular time of year, 12 when you did your observation, but it might be at 13 some other time. And I don't know if there's any 14 resource survey taking that into consideration before 15 you start the gravel extraction there. 16 Because you're talking about one heck of a lot of 17 You got 4 million cubic yards approximately gravel. 18 for the main island plus the three.... 19 MR. HUXLEY: 20 That's the total for the 4 islands. 21 DR. HARCHARIK: 22 But then you also have another million 23 cubic yards that you have to extract because of the 24 causeway and the road. You know, and that's going to 25 be quite a drop in the -- you know, in the

environment out there, just a big hole. And we're very concerned about what effects that would have on our subsistence lifestyle, and the migration patterns as they exist.

The development that has happened recently on the Slope has affected migration patterns. It has with the whale and it has with the caribou, because the caribou happen to be -- they just follow different patterns. That's just another consideration.

The third one, also relating to gravel is, when you're building these gravel islands out there, what consideration is given to the current patterns that are constantly eroding the bottom of that gravel island, as to -- you know, what it does to the wildlife habitat.

The studies that have been done on gravel island participation if you want to call it that, plus the studies that have been done on the muds, most of them have not analyzed the specific different -- the different types of elements in those cuttings. And the dicipation of various speeds.

We're concerned with most of the studies that have been done in moderate waters, and a different temperature. Some of those precipitate a lot faster, depending on the temperature.

H & M COURT REPORTING

1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220

ANCHOMAGE, ALASKA 99501

(907) 274-5661

We're very concerned that perhaps that's going end up back in the delta; how much is going to be transferred to other places through the current. For example, there's a study done by University of Alaska, I think it was by the Geophysical Institute, checking the -- what they were doing was putting fluids in the water and watch what occurs.

Depending on what's in those notes, what's in those cuttings; that could be very detrimental to the local habitat; that's what we're concerned with.

And the last one is, have you considered alternatives using gravel islands? The technology is available to have a stretcher out there -- many of us feel there is involved in using a gravel a island. One example is the Conoco/Mobile -- that will be in production shortly by way of Canada. They drilled from 120 to 180 feet. Then if it doesn't have to use the gravel island -- that would eliminate another problem.

It is going to be more expensive, but considering the estimates and value of this reservoir here, it's pretty darn high. We were suggesting that before this permit is granted, that consideration of other alternatives, gravel islands be very strongly considered.

1 That's all I have. Thank you. 2 COLONEL SALING: 3 Thank you. 4 I think you all know that during football games 5 many times there's a break while they don't really 6 need to call a time out, but they have to have 7 commercial time, and our tape is running out, so I'm 8 going to call time out. 9 (Whereupon a brief off the record period was then 10 taken to change the tape.) 11 COLONEL SALING: 12 The other gentleman who had indicated a desire to 13 make a comment was Percy Nucenya, who was sitting 14 over here. Do you know whether Percy plans to be 15 back? 16 (No audible response.) 17 Those are the three individuals who had indicated 18 on their attendance register that they wanted to 19 provide us with formal comments. At this time I 20 would solicit any comments that anyone else has that 21 would like to ask a question or make an input to us 22 at this time. Is there anyone else that would like 23 to ask a question or make a comment? 24 MR. MACANAHY: 25 You have my name and address on file, it's

with you people?

25

H & M COURT REPORTING
1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501
(907) 274-5661

1	MR. ROSS:
2	Sure enough.
3	COLONEL SALING:
4	Rich Gutleber over here is my prime contact. He
5	is the chap you could get hold of and he could put
6	you in contact with anybody that you need to talk to
7	MR. MACANAHY:
8	Thank you. Colonel Saling, you indicated that
9	this EIS may rely on some previous studies that have
10	been done in the last several years. I thought that
11	you were the one that said that; maybe someone else
12	did.
13	COLONEL SALING:
14	Yes, I did.
15	MR. MACANAHY:
16	Could you give us a brief idea, what those
17	studies are? Your concerns were set forth as to the
18	scope of the project, and maybe you could give us
19	some idea what the previous studies were and what
20	their impact was. That's in the brochure; I haven't
21	read the whole thing.
22	COLONEL SALING:
23	There's a section in there called Engineering
24	Environmental Studies, and it lists four sample
25	studies; there are others that have been done, but

H & M COURT REPORTING 1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 929 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501 (907) 974-5661

1 those the oil companies had done, as well as 2 companies such as Envirosphere (phonetic) which is 3 working right now tracking down the impacts for the 4 waterflood. 5 So, they're here, and we can provide you with any 6 other ones you would like to follow up on, we'd be 7 glad to do it. Rich, as I say, is my point of 8 contact. 9 MR. MACANAHY: 10 Thank you. 11 Mr. Huxley, two questions, and they are sort of 12 related. The pipelines -- both the sales pipeline 13 and the inter-island pipelines, on your scale -- your 14 time table. Would these pipelines be -- specifica. 15 the inter-island pipelines, would the be laid in the 16 wintertime? 17 And the second question is, is the alternate 18 route -- the sea route over to the West Dock -- I 19 take it that that was going to be a trench pipeline. 20 MR. HUXLEY: 21 Right. 22 MR. MACANAHY: 23 Would that.... 24 MR. HUXLEY: 25 They are both summer seasons.

1	MR.	MA CANAHY:
2		Summer pipelines.
3	MR.	HUXLEY:
4		The placement technique would be to dredge it
5		during the summer and also to lay it during the
6		summer. The method that we considered most probable
7		for the inter-island pipeline is what we call the
8		"bottom hole", in which the pipelines are strung out
9		on the main production island and basically dragged
10		through the water to the satellite islands. The
11		method for the West Dock would be a shallow draft
12		barge; it would also be summer construction.
13	MR.	MACANAHY:
14		If the alternate route were used, what would that
15		do to your time table? Could you still keep that
16		time tables?
17	MR.	HUXLEY:
18		The timing is about the same; it really I
19		think it changes the sort of equipment that you have
20		up there, so we wouldn't plan on having certain
21		equipment, one versus the other.
22	MR.	MACANAHY:
23		Where would that leave your gravel estimates if
24		the alternate route was chosen?
25	MR.	HUXLEY:

1	Well, even if we do the alternate route, there is
2	a chance we would still prefer to have the road
3	through the Delta and, again, possibly a causeway and
4	still go the alternate route.
5	MR. MACANAHY:
6	And the final question, the flood flood
7	island, am I using that right?
8	MR. HUXLEY:
9	Right. Waterflood island.
10	MR. MACANAHY:
11	That may not have to be built for three years for
12	water injection back into the wells?
13	MR. HUXLEY:
14	Right. The way the initial study work was do
15	the assumption was made that that would not go in on
16	the initial construction of facilities and islands.
17	The reservoir perception that we have now, and this
18	is in the we're still discussing among the
19	companies, and their own views of the reservoir,
20	would seem to indicate that timing might be
21	accelerated, and it might have to go in at the start.
22	MR. MACANAHY:
23	Thank you. I have nothing further.
24	COLONEL SALING:
25	Thank you. Would anyone else like to make a

1 comment or ask a clarification question. 2 MR. MATUMEAK: 3 My name is Warren Matumeak. On the map here 4 showing the Satellite Island A and B, was a causeway 5 studied instead of.... 6 MR. HUXLEY: 7 Between the satellite islands? 8 MR. MATUMEAK: 9 Yes. 10 MR. HUXLEY: 11 That was a consideration. At this point we don't 12 feel it's a serious consideration because of the fact 13 that we're in deeper water at that point, and the 14 construction of a causeway in that depth of water, 15 which is like eight to nine feet, it would be very 16 expensive between the islands, so at this time we do 17 not feel that's a really feasible consideration. 18 MR. MATUMEAK: 19 That was my concern is that anything covered 20 under the surface is risky, you know. If you have a 21 leak down there you won't know it in the wintertime 22 until maybe after much of the oil has spread, 23 whereas, if it was visible, and if there's a leak, 24 you could detect it fairly quickly. 25

H & M COURT REPORTING

1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

(907) 274-5661

Under the question on page 11, that item number

1 four, under ice survey wintering fish, the survey v 2 done in the winter of 1981 and 1982. What kind of 3 survey was it? Was it gill netting -- to see how 4 much fish there were in that area? 5 MR. HUXLEY: 6 Which survey? 7 MR. DIAS: 8 There was some gill netting; there was some 9 divers that went down. It was an area just north of 10 the existing Sag pipeline crossing down for several 11 hundred yards, and they were looking for it in the 12 summer time and plotting out -- those areas were 13 conceivably -- there would be water unfrozen, and 14 then in the wintertime they would cut holes in thos 15 areas and start looking for fish. We could send you 16 a copy of that study. 17 MR. MATUMEAK: 18 Yes, I would just like to see it. Was the only 19 study around the islands. 20 MR. DIAS: 21 No, not wintertime. 22 MR. MATUMEAK: 23 Are they going to do some more under ice survey? 24 MR. DIAS: 25 At this time no additional studies are

1 contemplated. 2 MR. HUXLEY: 3 I might add, the main reason for that particular 4 study was in the river area, and it was specifically 5 to address -- in fact, in the river area, it was in 6 the vicinity of where we would propose, perhaps, to 7 put in a pipeline bridge crossing that river, and the 8 reason was to determine if there are fish that do 9 overwinter in that area that would be disrupted 10 through a construction of a bridge. That was really 11 the specific concern being addressed. 12 MR. MATUMEAK: 13 You also have some summer environmental studies 14 in 1982, which includes fisheries, and that was 15 located where? 16 MR. DIAS: 17 It actually covered the majority of the face of 18 the Delta itself, and we also located some white nets 19 in the two main channels of the river. They have a 20 sampling -- there were a total of six white nets and 21 another set of four gill nets that were also set 22 daily, weather permitting. 23 MR. MATUMEAK: 24 And do you have any idea how many fish are in 25 that area in the summertime?

- 1		
1	MR.	DIAS:
2		Well, we will once that report comes out; the
3		report's not in final.
4	MR.	MATUMEAK:
5		Was it mostly for larger fish?
6	MR.	DIAS:
7		There was a size net, but it did take some of the
8		smaller fish; the netting was small enough to go down
9		to a fairly small size; I can't remember the exact
10		size.
11	MR.	MATUMEAK:
12		How did this go?
13	MR.	DIAS:
14		Mostly anadromous fish, those that would be go.
15		up into fresh water at some point in time responded.
16	MR.	MATUMEAK:
17		Which includes the larger fish.
18	MR.	DIAS:
19		Larger and small fish.
20	MR.	MATUMEAK:
21		They're going to do some more survey of that to
22		see if the catch last year would be about the same as
23		this year?
24	MR.	DIAS:
25		No, we don't contemplate that, because in 1981 we

pipelines offshore. We think we'll have enough 2 information from what we collected thusfar in terms 3 of site specific data and physical occurrences that 4 could cause problems in a pipeline; that subsea 5 pipelines are a practical way of transporting 6 fluids. 7 We are concerned about leaks, and our detail is 8 -- the work we've done through conceptual design is 9 looked at both leak prevention and leak detection in 10 quite considerable detail. It can be something that 11 is going to be pursued during preliminary design in 12 1983. 13 It is a concern; it is something, however, that 14 we do think that is feasible and can be accomplish 15 COLONEL SALING: 16 Let me interject there. Warren, am I correct in 17 what you're suggesting is that there is a third 18 alternative, and that is to bury the pipeline between 19 the production island and the point that it comes up 20 on land, instead of the causeway, is that what you're 21 suggesting? 22 MR. MATUMEAK: 23 Yeah, I'm suggesting that Satellite A and 24 Satellite B would be -- the pipe would be above 25 surface.

1

MR. HUXLEY:

In a causeway, is that right?

MR. MATUMEAK:

Yes. But like you're saying here, you can detect a leak when it happens under ice. Are you able to detect if there's a leak in wintertime?

MR. HUXLEY:

We will have leak detection equipment for the flow lines; it will be continuously monitored lines. It's the sort of equipment that we looked at conceptual design, which is by no means the end of our eliminating the problem.

For fluid lines it looks at monitoring the flow into the line, monitoring the flow out of the line, and then over set periods of time, examining flow in and out to see that you're getting the same flow in and out.

For gas lines it also looks at pressure deviations in gas lines to insure -- compensating for pressure surges to see that what you have coming in is what you have coming out.

There are systems available -- we don't have answered as yet, and we haven't gotten through the actual design of the facility, but a great many systems were looked at during the conceptual design,

H & M COURT REPORTING

1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

(907) 274-5661

1 that we feel are practical proven systems of leak 2 detection available that we can utilize. 3 MR. MATUMEAK: 4 Well, we have noticed that underground lines 5 can't be detected; you can't tell much of it -- it is 6 spread, you know. I'm just afraid that something 7 like this might be happening underground. 8 MR. HUXLEY: 9 I don't know that I could say too much more about 10 it. 11 COLONEL SALING: 12 I think you've made your point, and your point is 13 well taken, and that is, when we look at the risk 14 analysis on those lines underground, underwater, 15 underice, we're going to have to look very hard at 16 how reliable are the systems; the difference in the 17 pressure sensors and the rest of them. 18 Thank you. 19 DR. HARCHARIK: 20 If there's a leak, the detection device will, 21 sooner or later detect it. But the problem, what 22 contingencies will be available to clean it. 23 ice is smooth, for instance, when there is a major 24 The technology is inefficient in getting the leak. 25

But under conditions of

oil back out of the water.

1 broken ice, the technology is very poor; it's very limited. Most of the ocean industry magazines will 2 3 state exactly the same thing, and that's a major 4 concern. 5 If that oil is left in broken ice, depending on what time of year it is, and where it goes with the 6 7 currents, it could be a devasting effect on the 8 environment that would be considered very important. 9 Questions I may have missed when we first started 10 asking question. What time of year was that study 11 conducted referencing fish population? 12 MR. DIAS: 13 Are you talking about the 1981, '82, summer? 14 DR. HARCHARIK: 15 This is right. 16 MR. DIAS: 17 That would be after break up, and in 1982, we 18 went after break up, as soon as we could get out 19 there. We got in the river as soon as we could and 20 the ice moved out. Offshore it took a little longer 21 because of the ice, and it carried out until about 22 the middle of September. 23 DR. HARCHARIK: 24 The reason I'm asking is because those rivers --25 the fish that come and stay in there while the ice is

H & M COURT REPORTING

1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE. SUITE 220

ANCHORAGE. ALASKA 99501

(907) 274-5661

1 still there, and if the study was not done before 2 fish came your answer is going to be..... 3 MR. DIAS: 4 Well, our goal was to try to establish as best as 5 we could the environmental setting. We agree that we 6 would have liked to catch, or had our nets out there 7 before break up, or as break up was occurring. 8 was decided back in early May when we did meet with 9 some agencies, to discuss some problems, that, one, 10 there was a human safety factor that we did not want 11 to risk; and, two, we felt that we probably would not 12 get any additional data because we'd probably lose 13 our nets because of the incident. But those are the 14 reasons we chose not to attempt it. 15 DR. HARCHARIK: 16 One of the reasons I'm asking is, in the middle 17 part of Octoer I was ice fishing, and in a week and 18 ahalf got 10 sacks of fish. So, I have a question, 19 is there data on the fish population? 20 MR. DIAS: 21 This is in October? 22 DR. HARCHARIK: 23 This is in October. 24 MR. DIAS: 25 What we did was we talked to our contractor and

H & M COURT REPORTING

1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE. SUITE 220

ANCHORAGE. ALASKA 99501

(907) 274-5661

discussing with them as to when we felt was an appropriate time to pull out, and the contractor felt that around mid September was the appropriate time, and we did.

As I mentioned, we struck and agreement with the waterflood people in an attempt to try to share fish tag data, and we captured data....

DR. HARCHARIK:

I was just going to say, you know, I suggest that probably a more efficient way would be to contact those local people who do the fishing out there and identify what time of year they are still fishing; I doubt if that was done.

MR. DIAS:

Well, the contractor did talk to fishers, and he has been talking to them for some years. The point I was getting to was, the waterflood people do want to continue with the pipe net sampling, and we actually loaned them our nets and let them stay out there.

The preliminary information we're getting back from the waterflood people is that because of weather conditions, because of ice they were not getting good data, they were losing their nets, so there was a

COLONEL SALING:

H & M COURT REPORTING

1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

(907) 274-5661

- 1	
1	What you're saying is, the data they got throu
2	the testing program ought to be augmented by going
3	out and talking to people fishing out there.
4	DR. HARCHARIK:
5	Definitely.
6	COLONEL SALING:
7	To see what experience they were getting in terms
8	of that time of year, October, whenever they were
9	fishing. Your point's well taken.
10	DR. HARCHARIK:
11	Thank you. Concerning the other studies you
12	mentioned that support the fish study previous
13	studies in previous years, were they also done site
14	specific in other areas using similar techniques.
15	Was it a reinforcement of technique, or was it a
16	reinforcement of the '81/'82 data?
17	MR. DIAS:
18	The techniques are the studies that were done on
19	the waterflood location.
20	DR. HARCHARIK:
21	One more question. How far was the testing done?
22	MR. DIAS:
23	Initially we attempted to go out to the 18 foot 6
24	meter depth, and, again due to ice conditions we
25	couldn't get out that far and we had to come in. We

1 were slightly past three to four meter mark, as well 2 as far as we went out. 3 DR. HARCHARIK: 4 The reason I brought that up is I felt that the 5 island you're proposing to build would be seven miles 6 out, is that true? 7 MR. HUXLEY: 8 No, four miles. 9 DR. HARCHARIK: 10 That four mile gravel area is supposed to be, you 11 know, constantly circulated on the bottom; would it 12 be appropriate to be fish studies in that area, 13 because there is very little research that I know of 14 that has determined or has examined the various 15 depths that these fish go at various times of year 16 for protection going on out with that ice, because 17 there are times (indiscernible), but as the ice gets 18 thicker and seasons change, you know, I'm not sure 19 that anybody knows exactly what's out there and where 20 That island itself could have taken have they go. 21 devastating effect on that fish population, and 22 there's an awful lot of people that depend on the 23 fish in those rivers. Thank you. 24 COLONEL SALING:

H & M COURT REPORTING

1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

(907) 274-5661

Thank you.

25

Would anyone else like to come forward?
MR. OLEMAUN:

My name is Nate Olemaun; I'm City Mayor of Barrow.

To reinstate what Bob had said earlier, and the Sag River Delta and Duck Island hearings. When you advertised it that way the people who live here in North Slope, the communities are more familiar with those words than what you have put out on the public hearing identifying it as one of the geological phrases that they use --, and using that Endicott it is something that they never have heard of before; you might was well use Lisbourne or Saturn (phonetic) that they use also for the wording underground; the don't know the words of those, but they do know the Sagavanirtok, which they shortened to say Sag, because they can't say Sagavanirtok in the Prudhoe Bay area, and the Duck Islands.

And the map you have put out on the projects, I believe instead of saying on the main island, the Duck Island, where you're going to have your main camp, your satellites, you should -- I've seen it in there that you mention that its about so many miles from the Barrier Islands. I believe in the future it would be to your best interest to have the islands

H & M COURT REPORTING 1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE: SUITE 220 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501. (907) 274-5661

out there, designating them that they are out there away in a distance, that you are within shoreline.

The people you want to hear most, which I was hoping to see here, the Native people to testify. They could make a presentation looking at the map with the areas they already know about. But when you start putting Waterflood Island D, Satellite A, the manmade islands, you're gonna put; they don't know where that area is, other than looking at the Sag River Delta, the mouth of the Sag River and they would estimate.

The majority of them have been through there already, and when you go to Nuiqsut and Kaktovik, the majority of them have travelled that area, and looking at this map, unless you spend an hour or two explaining to them where the location is going to be. And those two hours could be used getting more testimony than trying to explain where you're going to build them. Identify the actual locations within the Prudhoe Bay area to Flaxman, I think would be more helpable.

COLONEL SALING:

That's a good point.

MR. OLEMAUN:

And using the oil field slang, something that's

H & M COURT REPORTING

1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE. SUITE 220

ANCHORAGE. ALASKA 99501

(907) 274-5661

been introduced up here the last 12 years now, wit tool pushers, roustabouts; stuff they use out in the oil field, they haven't heard those before unless you're down in Louisiana or Texas or someplace.

And the other point I would like to bring out is the location of the base camp on the route they use, using the causeway going over to the Sag River. To everybody's knowledge, that area is not travelable right now, other than the drill sites -- close to Drill Site 9, that are already in existence.

There's no road, and you're proposing to make a road and put in the base operations inland almost in the middle of where you want your causeway and to where the Sag River is, and that's a migrating pat of the caribou.

Usually when you establish a headquarters in Slope you put it close to the shore where your operation is going to be, that way you won't interfere with the migrating path of the caribou and the other animals, even if you put a road there, they will still go over the road, but if you put an operation center there, they would have to make a two to three mile detour from their migrating path. I believe that should be taken into consideration.

I didn't come here with a prepared statement,

1 because I didn't know what kind of handouts you had, 2 other than what I have already received. And I am 3 happy that we do have 30 days to make either written 4 or oral statements again. Thank you . 5 COLONEL SALING: 6 Thank you. 7 Additional comments? 8 MR. ALBERT: My name is Tom Albert, and I work with the 10 Borough. 11 Several of the comments I want to make now, I 12 quess, we discussed a little bit earlier this 13 afternoon, and I'll try to include some of these in 14 written form anyway. 15 Some of the major concerns have already been 16 mentioned here, but they're probably worth 17 considering just briefly again, and one of them is 18 the source of gravel. To contemplate the use of 19 8 million cubic yards of gravel, that's a lot. 20 considering the impacts that are happening to the 21 marine environment as it is, it really seems strange 22 that marine sources can be justified if on-shore 23 sources are reasonably available. 24 One of the real concerns that we've got with this 25 type of development is noise. Noise is something

H & M COURT REPORTING
1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501
(907) 274-5661

that maybe two or three years ago was much quieter all respects than now, but people are becoming much, much more concerned about the influence of noise on particularly the migratory route of the bowhead, and a lot of this happens to be related to a very clear situation that seems to be developing here in Barrow, and that is that since 1977, I think it is, there's only been one bowhead caught here in Barrow in the falltime, and from talking to a fair number of whaling captains here in Barrow, the feeling is that the animals in the fall are just steadily moving further and further out to sea off of Point Barrow.

And a person might say, "Well, that's purely a coincidence, but yet the same whaling captains observe, as well as does almost anyone else around here, that in the last few years the intensity of particularly the marine seismic activities in Point Barrow and to the east have been increasing, so that when people are out, let's see, in their boats off Point Barrow in the fall, it's not uncommon for them to, let's say, report to us if we ask them the following two things. Number one, they didn't see any bowheads, or, if they did they were very far out, and number two, they either see the seismic vessel working, or if they don't see it they often hear it,

even though it's over the horizon.

So this is really getting to be a problem. Is noise actually displacing the migratory route of this animal, and circumstantial evidence I think at the moment is getting pretty good that it is.

The obvious sources of noise that spring to mind are such things as aircraft, vessels of one kind or another and dredging operations. And one of the other reasons, let's say, that I think makes good sense for arguing against marine sources of gravel, the noise that is going to come from the dredge.

These things, I would anticipate, are going to make very substantial amounts of noise, so you hve the actual action of the dredge itself, then the flow of this material rattling through this pipeline going across the water to the barge, then the barge taking it out to the site, wherever that is, and then dumping it, and dumping a barge load of gravel from a steel bottomed barge, I assume would make at least a moderate amount of noise.

Then we have such things as the noise that's going to come from the island itself. That is, the generators that are going to be on the island, the pumps on the island; drills; one thing and another.

And then something that we don't have much real

H & M COURT REPORTING
1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501
(907) 274-5661

experience with yet but have every reason to suspe it will be a perfectly good source of noise, and that is the flow of oil through the pipeline, and the flow of water through your injection line, or whatever. But anyway, the flow of fluid through a tube makes noise usually.

So these are some of the obvious sources of noise, there may be some others.

As far as the poor ol' bowhead is concerned, some of the more obvious concerns are the direct effect of oil upon the animals. You're talking about removing a billion barrels of oil from this area. One might speculate that there will be a few barrels that happen to slip out onto the water somehow, either through a blowout or pipeline rupture or God knows what.

So the direct effect of the oil on the animal, or upon its prey species, but surely something that's coming more and more into the forefront all the time is the effect of noise on the animal, and I already mentioned that.

Another animal that people are becoming more and more concerned about, and expressing concerns to those of us that work for the Borough, for instance, are the effects of all this activity upon fish. Now,

we went over some of this today, and some other people have brought that up here, and the villages of Kaktovik and Nuiqsut, as you will soon find out, consume a lot of fish, particularly Nuiqsut; and causeways, I think we have every reason to suspect that they're going to have some impact upon the fish. If they are solid fill causeways, four miles long, this seems to be just intuitively something that's going to affect near shore migration of fish.

So the use of a continuous fill causeway we see as totally indefensible from an environmental point of view.

The only question about a causeway is how big and how well maintained are going to be the interruptions in it. A solid fill causeway, I don't think is even worth talking about. I don't know how anyone can defend it, a scientific format.

Another thing that I think is worth considering, if you're talking about pipelines is -- and we heard a little discussion about that today, is are you contemplating any of these pipelines being in permafrost. I might ask you folks?

MR. HUXLEY:

No. The only case examined that permafrost was encountered would be a case where we came to ashore

to the delta without a causeway. In that case we have to transition through permafrost. If the sales pipelines are to stall it in a causeway, we are not having to deal with permafrost.

The pipeline between the islands, permafrost in that region is deep enough that we don't appear to have any problem with permafrost there either.

MR. ALBERT:

I think I mentioned this to the Colonel this afternoon. A few months ago some people from Shell were up here visiting with us, they're pipeline folks, and in discussions with them it sort of came out that they didn't know, if I remember correctly, of any marine pipeline that was in permafrost, to as an example, and our concern is, if you put a marine pipeline in permafrost, what is going to happen, because not only do you have problems with maybe ice eventually damaging this thing, but the leakage of heat from it to melt the permafrost and then possibly slumping of the pipe and rupture. I'm sure you will consider that Environmental Impact Statement.

Something else that someone suggested to us recently, and that is that some of the islands that are going to be constructed may actually have a

H & M COURT REPORTING

1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

(907) 974-5661

beneficial use in addition to providing income to the oil companies and to the State and other folks, is habitat for birds. And the Borough is going to take a study on duck nest enhancement on the Barrier Islands, and would ask that when you consider building these islands that you also try to see whether you can enhance the likelihood of waterfoul usage of the islands. This is an obvious good management technique which can help to defuse maybe some concern that nothing is being done to help subsistence users of resources.

Another thing that we touched on a little bit today was the need for long term monitoring in the looking for, let's say, environmental impacts of these actions.

To conduct one or two years of a fish survey is good and great, and, incidentally, we would like to have copies of any of these reports that you have cited as background material, preferably three copies if we could, of each.

But in your scoping document here you site
natural large scale fluctuations which are
characteristic of Arctic ecosystems, and we agree
with you that this is true. So one or two year
studies by your own scoping documents definition,

probably, are less than adequate.

So it seems reasonable that if you're going to put a major physical structure out here which a lot of scientists agree, is going to have an impact, that it be coupled with an appropriate monitoring scheme.

Another thing that we touched on this afternoon with the Colonel is the inspection of these facilities, both during their construction and their long term operation. What is going to be the inspection scheme to properly monitor compliance with permits and one thing and another?

I think it's pretty obvious to us now that there just isn't all that much inspection regarding compliance up here on the North Slope and one does want to have the image of the fox guarding the chicken coop, you might say. There are major industrial activities going on up here with billions and billions of dollars at stake, plus a lot of resources at stake, and I think it's appropriate that some effort be spent at enhancing the monitoring and compliance section of the appropriate agencies, the Department of Environmental Conservation, Natural Resources, Corps of Engineers, and surely lots of others.

So that's my comments.

H & M COURT REPORTING 1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220 ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501 (907) 274-5661

1	COLONEL SALING:
2	Thank you very much.
3	Any additional comments?
4	(No audible response.)
5	Ladies and gentlemen, I will remind you that the
6	record will remain open for 30 days for any comments
7	that you may have.
8	I think our address - if it's not in the Scoping
9	Document, we will provide it to you; I believe it's
10	in there.
11	I thank you all very much for coming out this
12	evening, and I think you have given us some valuable
13	input and we will hope to make the most of it. Thank
14	you very much.
15	(Wherupon the hearing adjourned at 9:30 p.m.)
16	(Whereupon the following testimonies were given
17	after the close of the hearing.)
18	MS. MAUPIN:
19	My name is Dorcas Maupin. I'm concerned about
20	the rigs that the last meeting when was that
21	anyway, there was a rig about that was being built
22	that was floating to Nunivak Island I was
23	reading about it in the newspaper, September of
24	1982.
25	And I'm concerned about the winds, the currents

that are swift, especially in the spring and summemonths. And some fishes migrate to different areas.

I was looking at the map with my dad, who is in Fairbanks right now, when he came up last summer for the Elders Conference. We looked at the North Slope area where the fish, caribou, ducks and birds that are migrating, and now adays there was concern about the noise that is continually here in the Barrow area and other villages, also.

When I was a girl my grandmother took me towards the beach; we walked down there, and we have these -- we would pick these snipes and use them for our meal. Nowadays they're practically gone.

And I'm concerned about the rig builders. There was a first rig about five miles east of Barrow, that was no longer there. There was much concern about the trash that the rig builders throw around and the caribou got tangled in a wire -- now they're studying about it. That was dead for so many years. Somebody found it. The wires were dangled with this.

And I'm concerned about where they're gonna -these men, 700 some, who are going to be housed and
working, where are they going to throw their weight,
and whatever; what are they going to do with them.

And that was my concerns. Thank you.

And I might add that the maps that you should look into -- the Native people, especially, should look into them. If they're going to have a next meeting concerning where the migrating animals will be, some of their older elders, they know more about these things than I do. We could learn from them if they look at the map, where the fish is, caribou and other animals travel. Thank you.

MR. BROWER:

My name is Johnny Brower. I just got some concerns on some critical areas of habitats of migration and feeding patterns on bowhead whale that migrate through here -- through Barrow and then through to Prudhoe Bay and into Canadian Island water.

My main reason I would like to express my view in some of these ideas, is the critical feeding pattern in the rest areas for the bowhead whale, and the young bowhead whale that migrate and rest or take feeding stopovers in regions of the Prudhoe Bay region areas and offshore islands. And in the past I've heard some pretty strong rumors and stories that some of the oil companies have spilled a large volume of diesel or gasoline, which actually leaks out into

H & M COURT REPORTING
1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220
ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501
(907) 274-5661

_ .

the island area waters, which is a pretty rich resource of feeding patterns and planting locations for all the whales that feed off these miniature organisms.

And I, for one, as a whaler from here in Barrow, have a great concern on my diet that I eat from these mammals. The skin tissue infections and scars that are appearing on some of the mammals we get, gives me a lot of concern to express my views on some of these, and if they get into eating a lot of this gas youklow soaked plantain that they feed on; what the whale eats is what I also eat from the whale, plus my Native people here in Barrow and the regions.

I'm mostly interested in seeing some better aspects of preventive measures to prevent small volume pollution or large scale pollutions on these natural water areas of the bowhead whale, which is supposed to be listed as endangered species, and is supposed to have its own whale sanctuary areas and feeding grounds, but nobody in this country seems to be aware of what the endangered species animal is anymore on account of what they need for their country on this oil. It seems to me they go crazy enough to get what they want from the oil fields and just ignor it and pollute and damage the resource

3

5

4

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

value of the structures of feeding grounds and migration patterns and the hunting areas of the Native people for these whales we eat.

That's just about almost all I could cover for right now, because I didn't come with a prepared statement to speak on, so I'll just speak what I can give from my point of view.

I am more interested in seeing the oil companies do directional drilling from inland. From what I understood in some of the past workshops they had, I seen some information that they could use offshore -they don't really need to use offshore equipment, but use inland equipment for angle drilling that could do up to drilling 10 miles real easy. So I would, more or less, have interest in encouraging oil companies to drill from the inland regions and not designated as natural offshore island areas, which is a pretty poor location, just in case natural forces of storms or ice movement comes around, they could get covered up with a large volume of ice, like some of the families that have been covered up in the past century, in the late 1820s. Some of these families were discovered and had to be reburied. They were over 65 feet above sea level, and they got caved in with the ice movement.

I would like to see some better measures and preventive measures to be used and not to pamper with with migratory patterns. And seasonal movements of the whale on these regions that are here in the Artic waters, it seems like this country need to make it -- give use of the industrial production equipment, in learning how to protect what nature has produced and what the country really wants from it, they should have a better respect in value in terms of the needs of what they have. If they want something, that they shouldn't destroy or pollute these regions.

My main concern is to see offshore drilling be depleted and be voided out from the agendas of the state and federal and encourage them to use angle drilling from inland, like I stated earlier. They have the necessary equipment and drilling units that can drill offshore by measures of long range, and they have some more equipment in production that could give a little bit more accuracy in the distance of these angle drillings.

I don't have much to say from there. I would like to thank you for the opportunity for the statement for this. I hope they do a better job instead of trying to destroy what they need to destroy (indiscernible), the demons getting their

H & M COURT REPORTING

1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE, SUITE 220'

ANCHORAGE, ALASKA 99501

(907) 274-5661

fill of their black faces. That's not a funny thing to state a thing like this, but the insanity of the country seems to do what they want to do. For the people, of the people, and by the people; they can also be moved out of the regions if they destroy and damage too much.

I thank you for the opportunity for this statement and interview this evening. That's all I got to say.

(Whereupon the statements ended.)

H & M COURT REPORTING 1031 WEST 4TH AVENUE. SUITE 920 ANCHORAGE. ALASKA 99501 (907) 974-5661